



**PATENT**

Case Docket No. WESTERN.001C5

Date: March 11, 2004

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant(s) : Moore, et al.  
Appl. No. : 10/624,249  
Filed : July 22, 2003  
For : FULLER-THRUSTER DOWNHOLE  
TOOL  
Group Art Unit : 3672  
Class/Sub-Class : 166-381000  
Examiner : Hoang C. Dang

**CERTIFICATE OF FAX TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being transmitted via facsimile to Mail Stop Issue Fee, Fax No. (703) 746-4000 on the date shown below.

March 11, 2004

(Date)

Edward A. Schlatter, Reg. No. 32,297

**TRANSMITTAL LETTER**

**MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Enclosed for filing is the Issue Fee for the above-identified application:

- (X) Form PTOL-85.
- (X) The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge \$1660 for the filing fees, and any additional fees, which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Account No. 11-1410.
- (X) Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance
- (X) Return prepaid postcard.

Edward A. Schlatter  
Registration No. 32,297  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20,995  
(949) 760-0404

H:\DOCS\DLH\DLH-3322.DOC\031004



WESTERN.001C5

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant	:	Moore et al.	)	Confirmation No. 7341
Appl. No.	:	10/624,249	)	
Filed	:	July 22, 2003	)	
For	:	PULLER-THRUSTER DOWNHOLE TOOL	)	
TC/A.U.	:	3762	)	
Examiner	:	Hoang C. Dang	)	
Customer No.	:	20,995	)	

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

For the record, Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that not all the claims include each of the limitations specified by the Examiner. For example, method claims 174 and 175 do not recite a chamber surrounding the piston. Furthermore, claim 175 does not recite a gripper actuation channel. Also, to the extent that there is any implication that the patentability of the claims rests on the recitation of a single feature, Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's Statement because it is the combination of features that makes the claims patentable.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: March 13, 2004

By: [Signature]

Edward A. Schlatter  
Registration No. 32,297  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20,995  
(949) 760-0404

H:\DOCS\DLH\WESTERN\001\001C5\_COMMENTS.DOC  
031104